

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | . F | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/400,346 | | 09/20/1999 | KENTARO TOYAMA | MCS-058-99 | 3337 |
| 27662 | 7590 | 06/06/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| MICROS | OFT COR | PORATION | LE, BRIAN Q | | |
| C/O LYON 300 ESPLA | | , | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SUITE 800 |) | | 2624 | | |
| OXNARD, | CA 930 | 36 | DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/400,346 | TOYAMA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Brian Q. Le | 2624 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin it apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/17 | 7/2005. | | | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| •— | | | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 93-98</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| ,— | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20 and 93-94</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>95-98</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | _ | • | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infor | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/400,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Response to Amendment and Arguments

1. Applicant's amendment filed April 17, 2006, has been entered and made of record.

2. Applicant's arguments with regard to claims 95-98 have been fully considered, but are not considered persuasive because of the following reasons:

Regarding the rejection of claim 95 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the Applicant cited (top of page 5 of Remarks) the support of the classifying step "on a pixel-by-pixel basis and is given an initial classification as either a background pixel or a foreground pixel" at specification, page 24, lines 1-3 and the prediction step "the pixel processing module uses a predictive technique associated prediction parameters to provide multiple predictions about the value of a pixel in a subsequent frame. Any pixel that deviates significantly from these predicted values is classified as a foreground pixel; other wise, the pixel is classified as a background pixel" at specification, page 24, lines 3-7. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The classifying step and the prediction step that the Applicant discussed in the Remarks page 5 are not the predicting steps and the classifying step claimed in claim 95. Perhaps, the Applicant provides "ample" supported for limitations discussed at page 5 of the Remarks. However, the Applicant does not provide supported for limitations as claimed in claim 95.

Thus, the rejections of all of the claims are maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/400,346 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 95-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding claim 95, the Applicant is advised to clearly point out the support for the limitations specifically the predicting steps and the classifying steps (page number and line number). Appropriate correction is required.

Claims not specifically addressed are rejected because they are dependent to the rejected claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 95-98 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/400,346 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2624

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7424. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M - 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL May 31, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER